

Commodore Perry SD

Comprehensive Plan | 2024 - 2027

Profile and Plan Essentials

| | | |
|---|--------------|---|
| LEA Type | | AUN |
| K-12 School District | | 104431304 |
| Address 1 | | |
| 3002 Perry Highway | | |
| Address 2 | | |
| | | |
| City | State | Zip Code |
| Hadley | PA | 16130 |
| Chief School Administrator | | Chief School Administrator Email |
| Kenneth Jewell | | kjewell@cpanthers.org |
| Single Point of Contact Name | | |
| Kenneth Jewell | | |
| Single Point of Contact Email | | |
| kjewell@cpanthers.org | | |
| Single Point of Contact Phone Number | | |
| 724-253-3255 | | |

Steering Committee

| Name | Position/Role | Building/Group/Organization | Email |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Kenneth Jewell | Administrator | Commodore Perry School District | kjewell@cpanthers.org |
| Stephanie Clawges | Administrator | Commodore Perry School Distric | sclawges@cpanthers.org |
| Michael Wright | Administrator | Commodore Perry School District | mwright@cpanthers.org |
| Teri Shook | Community Member | Commodore Perry School Distric | shookter@gmail.com |
| Brenda Hittle | Board Member | Commodore Perry School District | bhittle@cpanthers.org |
| Kayla Post | Parent | Commodore Perry School District | kapost44@yahoo.com |
| David Snyder | Staff Member | Commodore Perry School District | dsnyder@cpanthers.org |
| Becca McCann | Community Member | Commodore Perry School District | becca@mccannmasonry.com |
| Danielle Powell | Parent | Commodore Perry School District | daniellepowell@live.com |
| Becky Dobi | Staff Member | Commodore Perry School District | rdobi@cpanthers.org |
| Megan Straub | Staff Member | Commodore Perry Elementary School | mstraub@cpanthers.org |
| Karson Cropp | Student | Commodore Perry High School | kcropp@cpanthers.org |
| Bella Snyder | Student | Commodore Perry High School | bsnyder@cpanthers.org |
| James DeSantis | Administrator | Commodore Perry School District | jdesantis@cpanthers.org |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

LEA Profile

The Commodore Perry School District is a small, rural district that serves six communities including Sheakleyville Borough, Perry Township, Otter Creek Township, Salem Township, Sandy Creek Township, and Deer Creek Township, covering more than 74 square miles. It is located in northern Mercer County, and the population is approximately 4,200 people. All students receive a free lunch under the CEP. Several large and small manufacturers are located in the district. Local resources include a Goddard State Park, multiple state game hunting areas, a winery, and two camping facilities. Organizational resources include a small but cohesive team of administrators and a dedicated staff.

The elementary and high schools are located in the same building with separate entrances and wings. The high school educates approximately 197 students, and the elementary school educates approximately 217 students. There are approximately 62 total staff members including professional and support staff. Academic programming includes a career and technical track, college prep, honors courses, and College in the High School courses through The University of Pittsburgh, Thiel College, and St. Francis University. Commodore Perry is a participating school district in the Mercer County Career Center which provides CTE programming for students selecting the CTE program pathway. Opportunities for STEM education and a variety of academic, athletic, and extra-curricular activities are offered. The building is open for walking, weight room use, and community organization use. The school is seen as the hub of the community.

The Commodore Perry School District is within 35 miles of the following institutions of higher education: Thiel College, Penn State University- Shenango Campus, Slippery Rock University, Grove City College, Westminster College, and Butler County Community College.

Of significance to safety, there are no law enforcement agencies within the district boundaries. The Pennsylvania State Police barracks is approximately 15 miles away. The CPSD maintains its own police department.

Mission and Vision

Mission

The mission of the Commodore Perry School District, a community school, is to provide an individualized education that is inspiring, engaging, and relevant to the unique needs of each student.

Vision

The vision of the Commodore Perry School District is to prepare students for success by developing the ability to think critically, act empathetically, and communicate effectively.

Educational Values

Students

Students will: Respect educators and peers while holding themselves accountable. Show kindness and cooperation that encourages an effective learning environment. Strive to develop valuable relationships among educators and students. Hold themselves and others to the highest standards to achieve academic excellence.

Staff

Staff will: Challenge themselves to achieve at their highest level possible. Model compassion and tolerance for all students and staff. Encourage and support positive relationships based on communication that respects all key stakeholders. Inspire self-respect in students by holding them accountable for their own learning. Mold students to be responsible, dependable, service-oriented individuals.

Administration

Administrators will: Support students and staff through the modeling of accountability for words and actions. Support and encourage healthy relationships based on integrity, respect, and compassion. Support the growth of students and staff through the encouragement of innovative thinking and learning. Foster constructive and effective communication.

Parents

Parents will: Enable and encourage their children and classmates to set goals, aim high, so as to make an impact in the world around them, know that they can be difference-makers in the future of their community and country, and give them praise for their success. Facilitate their child(ren)'s academic success by engaging in school functions that help build a healthy, strong network of relationships with their children, educators, and administrators. Support accountability of parents, students, staff, and community in building a respectful learning environment to achieve optimal success in producing productive citizens. Encourage healthy relationships in the school community by demonstrating respect for all teachers and students.

Community

The Community will: Build relationships by modeling service to support the Commodore Perry School District. Support the Commodore Perry School District through acts of kindness and encouragement.

Other (Optional)

Omit selected.

Future Ready PA Index

Review of the School(s) Level Performance

Strengths

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---|--|
| JSHS Percent Proficient/Advanced in English Language Arts/Literature improved and above the state average. | |
| CPES and JSHS Academic Growth Expectations in English Language Arts/Literature and mathematics/algebra were met or exceeded | Academic growth continues to meet or exceed the standard demonstrating growth. |
| CPES Academic Growth Expectations and proficiency in science met the 2023 Statewide Goal | |
| Regular attendance for both JSHS and CPES was above the state average. | |

Challenges

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---|--|
| JSHS did not meet the interim goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics/algebra 1 | JSHS did exceed the statewide average for proficient/advanced in ELA |
| JSHS Academic Growth Expectations in science were not met. | |
| All students did not meet the interim goal/improvement target in 7th and 8th grades | |

Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

Strengths

| | |
|---|--|
| Indicator 2023 cohorts in grades 4, 7, 8 demonstrated improvement in their performance in ELA Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) Grades 4, 7, 8 | Comments/Notable Observations compared to 2022 |
| Indicator The economically disadvantaged group showed an increase in science proficiency. Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) Economically Disadvantaged grade 4, 8 | Comments/Notable Observations |
| Indicator The All Student Group improved in proficiency in mathematics Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) CPES all student group met or exceeded the growth standard in ELA and Math | Comments/Notable Observations |

Challenges

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
|-----------|-------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>The All Student group did not meet the standard demonstrating proficiency in ELA</p> <p>Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) JSHS</p> | |
| <p>Indicator The All Student group did not meet the standard demonstrating proficiency in ELA and mathematics</p> <p>Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) Grades 3-6</p> | <p>Comments/Notable Observations</p> |
| <p>Indicator All Student Group did not meet the proficiency standard in mathematics</p> <p>Grade Level(s) and/or Student Group(s) 7-11</p> | <p>Comments/Notable Observations</p> |

Summary

Strengths

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

| |
|--|
| <p>JSHS Percent Proficient/Advanced in English Language Arts/Literature improved and above the state average.</p> |
| <p>The economically disadvantaged group showed an increase in science proficiency.</p> |
| <p>CPES and JSHS Academic Growth Expectations in English Language Arts/Literature and mathematics/algebra were met or exceeded</p> |

Challenges

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

| |
|---|
| <p>JSHS did not meet the interim goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics/algebra 1</p> |
| <p>CPES All Student Group did not meet the goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics</p> |

Local Assessment

English Language Arts

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---|---|
| Measures of Academic Progress ELA Grade 1 - 177.4; Norm - 171.4 Grade 2 - 191.2; Norm - 185.6 Grade 4 - 210.5; Norm - 204.8 Grade 5 - 216.2; Norm - 211 | Grades 1, 2, 4, 5 scored above the national norm on ELA MAP test |
| 2020-2021 PSSAs ELA JSHS 57.6% proficient or advanced 63.0% Elementary proficient or advanced 67.7% of 4th graders scored proficient or advanced. 63% of 8th-grade students scored proficient or advanced. Spring 2021 Literature Keystone 57.1% of students scored proficient. | Students scored above the statewide average in ELA in the JSHS and in the elementary school |

English Language Arts Summary

Strengths

| |
|---|
| Students are divided into small groups to address specific needs identified through data in K-6. |
| A spiral review of mathematics is part of math instruction at every grade level K-6. |
| Tiered interventions have been implemented with supplemental instruction provided in math and ELA in grades 1-6. Flexible instructional groups are determined by formative and summative assessments. |
| Grades 1, 2, 4, and 5 scored above the national norm on ELA MAP test |

Challenges

| |
|---|
| There is a lack of time to analyze and use the standard strand data scores for the overall grade and individual students. This prohibits teachers from tailoring instruction to meet student needs and doesn't allow teachers to analyze curriculum gaps. |
| Interventions recently put in place have not had time to achieve expected results |
| N/A |
| N/A |

Mathematics

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---|--|
| MAP test: Students in grades 4-6 demonstrated a 12% to 22% improvement in achievement between the fall and spring assessments. | While students demonstrate growth, achievement lags behind growth. |
| 2020-2021 PSSA tests JSHS- 24.5% proficient or advanced Elementary 33.1% proficient or advanced 8th-grade PSSA scores showed only 28% were proficient or advanced Spring 2021 Algebra Keystone 35% of students scored proficient. | Students scored below the statewide average of 37.3% in the JSHS and elementary schools. |
| Math MAP test Grade 3 - 193.7; Norm - 201.1 Grade 6 - 215.5; Norm - 222.9 | Grades 3 and 6 scored below the national norm |

Mathematics Summary

Strengths

| |
|--|
| There is a common math program used for grades K-8 that provides consistency in focus. |
| The use of online software programs allows teachers to both identify and target specific standards that surface as gaps in student learning. |
| Teachers have been able to flexibly group students according to need by using both online and in-person assessments. |
| N/A |

Challenges

| |
|---|
| Struggling readers find it difficult to complete math word problems. |
| Implementation of a traditional Algebra 1, Geometry, Algebra 2 progression is currently underway to replace an integrated approach. The results of the new approach are not known at this time. |
| Numbers and operations is a continued weakness for students in all grades . |
| Grades 3 and 6 scored below the national norm on the Math MAP test |

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|--|--|
| On 2020/21 PSSA tests CPES scored 91.7% proficient or advanced JSHS scored 57.1% proficient or advanced | Student groups in CPES scored above the state average in science. Student groups in JSHS scored 1% below the state average in science. |

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary

Strengths

| |
|--|
| The elementary school students scored 91.7% proficient or advanced in science. |
| Using standards and anchors in curriculum development was valuable for focusing instruction. |
| Using hands-on laboratory activities has increased learning through real-life examples. |
| N/A |

Challenges

| |
|---|
| The JSHS students scored 57.1% proficient or advanced in science which is below the state average of 58.9% |
| There is a need for time and professional development to develop the curriculum and resources for the STEELS standards |
| The keystones and PSSA test general Science and Biology and not specific Environmental/Ecology topics making it difficult to zero in on weaknesses in that subject. |

Related Academics

Career Readiness

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|--|--|
| Future Ready Index 2022-2023 JSHS 100% of students met benchmark CPES 100% of students met the benchmark | Career Standards Benchmark All Student Group Meets Performance Standard in the elementary school. |
| Chapter 339 plan portfolios grades K-12 | Portfolios include evidence of meeting standards benchmarks. |
| All Student Groups exceeded the statewide average and performance standard in career readiness. | 100% of students demonstrated meaningful engagement in career exploration Statewide average - 89.6% Statewide performance standard - 98% |

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

Arts and Humanities

True Arts and Humanities Omit

Environment and Ecology

True Environment and Ecology Omit

Family and Consumer Sciences

True Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

Articulation Agreements

True We do not have any articulation agreements because we do not have high school students, or ALL current agreements have been uploaded to other FRCPP plans.

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

| |
|---|
| The new resources being used in the music and language classrooms have been very beneficial. Student engagement and participation have increased. |
| Having students rate their own work and view the teacher ratings helps to hold them accountable for the criteria that they were supposed to try and achieve vs the teacher ratings. |
| Allowing students to learn new techniques from other students by looking at their work during regularly scheduled class critiques, drives them to try harder for the next critique. Critiques also give students the opportunity to use vocabulary and talk about processes and design concepts that were taught. |
| Tiered interventions in mathematics and ELA at the elementary level have assisted students in meeting the learning objectives. |

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

| |
|--|
| Continued revisions of curriculum will require additional time and resources. |
| We must continue to maintain 100% engagement to meet and/or exceed the statewide performance standard. |
| Determining the most effective resources to improve student achievement continues to be a challenge. |

Equity Considerations

English Learners

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students with Disabilities

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|--|---|
| On the math 2022-2023 PSSA CPES 23.5% of Students with Disabilities scored proficient or advanced. | Performance of this group appears to be less than in the previous year because there were less students in the subgroup. |
| On the ELA 2022-2023 PSSA CPES 35.3% of Students with Disabilities scored proficient or advanced. | The decrease in proficiency compared to the previous year is statistically insignificant due to the small number of students in the subgroup. |
| | |

Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---|---|
| On the 2022-2023 PSSA ELA CPES 53.5% of students considered economically disadvantaged scored proficient or advanced. On the 2022-2023 PSSA ELA JSHS 50% of students considered economically disadvantaged scored proficient or advanced. | Percentage of students proficient/advanced improved from prior year |
| On the 2022-2023 PSSA math CPES economically disadvantaged group exceeded the growth standard. | Performance is an improvement from 2021-2022 |
| On the 2022-2023 assessments the JSHS students considered economically disadvantaged met the growth target in ELA | Growth continues to improve. |
| On the 2022-2023 PSSA/Keystone math JSHS 9.3% of students considered economically disadvantaged scored proficient or advanced. | Student group meet the growth expectation. |

Student Groups by Race/Ethnicity

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

| |
|--|
| Economically Disadvantaged Student group met the growth expectation in ELA |
| Economically Disadvantaged Student group met the growth expectation in math. |
| |
| |

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

| |
|---|
| Math proficiency is an issue at all levels for all groups in both the elementary and JSHS levels. |
| ELA proficiency is an issue at all levels for all groups in both the elementary and JSHS levels. |
| |
| |

Designated Schools

There are no Designated Schools.

Supplemental LEA Plans

| Programs and Plans | Comments/Notable Observations |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Special Education Plan | The district continues to focus on the proficiency and academic growth of special education students. |
| Title 1 Program | Continuing to use Title I funding is important to support students' needs in the elementary school for both ELA and math. |
| Student Services | Developing resources to meet the social-emotional needs of the students is a challenge both from a personnel and funding standpoint. |
| K-12 Guidance Plan (339 Plan) | The CP guidance plan has been updated and is helpful in meeting the career standards benchmarks. |
| Technology Plan | NA |
| English Language Development Programs | NA |

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed and record those which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

| |
|---|
| The CP guidance plan has been updated and is helpful in meeting the career standards benchmarks. |
| Continuing to use Title I funding is important to support students' needs in the elementary school for both ELA and math. |

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

| |
|--|
| Developing resources to meet the social-emotional needs of the students is a challenge both from a personnel and funding standpoint. |
| Continued tiered interventions are a staffing and resources challenge. |

Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning

Empower Leadership for District Continuous Improvement

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Foster a vision and culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, and families | Operational |
| Establish and maintain a focused system for continuous improvement and ensure organizational coherence | Operational |
| Engage in meaningful two-way communication with stakeholders to sustain shared responsibility for student learning across the district | Operational |

Focus on Continuous Improvement of Instruction

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Ensure effective, standards-aligned curriculum and assessment | Operational |
| Support schools in implementing evidence-based instructional strategies and programs to ensure all students have access to rigorous, standards-aligned instruction | Operational |
| Build the capacity of central office and school administrators as instructional leaders to effectively monitor, supervise, and support high quality teaching and learning | Operational |

Provide Student-Centered Supports so That All Students are Ready to Learn

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Coordinate and monitor supports aligned with students' and families' needs | Operational |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the district | Operational |

Implement Data-Driven Human Capital Strategies

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Recruit and retain fully credentialed, experienced and high-quality leaders and teachers | Operational |
| Support the development and professional learning of central office and school-based staff in alignment with district and school mission, vision, goals, and priorities | Operational |

Organize and Allocate Resources and Services Strategically and Equitably

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Allocate resources, including money, staff, professional learning, materials, and support to schools based on the analysis of a variety of data | Operational |
| Coordinate fiscal resources from local, state, and federal programs to achieve the district's goals and priorities | Operational |

Summary

Strengths

With your vision and goals in mind, identify and record which essential practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged to improve your most pressing concerns.

The alignment of financial resources from local, state, and federal programs, including grant opportunities with District vision and goals has been critical to its success in accomplishing them.

| |
|---|
| The District uses staff and community partners to coordinate and monitor supports aligned with students' and families' needs. |
| There is and has been a strong focus on meeting individual student needs. |
| Family engagement has been a focus of the district. |
| There is a focus on the empowering of teachers and staff to create and provide avenues to best meet the needs of students. |

Challenges

With your vision and goals in mind, identify and record which essential practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, that if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission and vision.

| |
|--|
| Developing additional avenues of communication between stakeholders on a consistent basis is important to accomplish the vision and goals of the District. |
| There is a need for continued work in the area of positive school culture/environment. |
| There is a need for continued work in the area of rigorous expectations for students. |

Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment

Strengths

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

| Strength | Check for Consideration in Plan |
|---|---------------------------------|
| JSHS Percent Proficient/Advanced in English Language Arts/Literature improved and above the state average. | True |
| The economically disadvantaged group showed an increase in science proficiency. | False |
| CPES and JSHS Academic Growth Expectations in English Language Arts/Literature and mathematics/algebra were met or exceeded | False |
| Students are divided into small groups to address specific needs identified through data in K-6. | False |
| A spiral review of mathematics is part of math instruction at every grade level K-6. | True |
| Tiered interventions have been implemented with supplemental instruction provided in math and ELA in grades 1-6. Flexible instructional groups are determined by formative and summative assessments. | True |
| Grades 1, 2, 4, and 5 scored above the national norm on ELA MAP test | True |
| The elementary school students scored 91.7% proficient or advanced in science. | False |
| Using standards and anchors in curriculum development was valuable for focusing instruction. | False |
| Using hands-on laboratory activities has increased learning through real-life examples. | True |
| There is a common math program used for grades K-8 that provides consistency in focus. | True |
| N/A | False |
| N/A | False |
| The CP guidance plan has been updated and is helpful in meeting the career standards benchmarks. | False |
| The use of online software programs allows teachers to both identify and target specific standards that surface as gaps in student learning. | True |
| Teachers have been able to flexibly group students according to need by using both online and in-person assessments. | True |
| The new resources being used in the music and language classrooms have been very beneficial. Student engagement and participation have increased. | False |
| Having students rate their own work and view the teacher ratings helps to hold them accountable for the criteria that they were supposed to try and achieve vs the teacher ratings. | False |
| The alignment of financial resources from local, state, and federal programs, including grant opportunities with District vision and goals has been critical to its success in accomplishing them. | True |
| The District uses staff and community partners to coordinate and monitor supports aligned with students' and families' needs. | True |
| Economically Disadvantaged Student group met the growth expectation in ELA | False |
| There is and has been a strong focus on meeting individual student needs. | True |
| Family engagement has been a focus of the district. | False |

| | |
|---|-------|
| Tiered interventions in mathematics and ELA at the elementary level have assisted students in meeting the learning objectives. | True |
| Economically Disadvantaged Student group met the growth expectation in math. | False |
| There is a focus on the empowering of teachers and staff to create and provide avenues to best meet the needs of students. | False |
| Continuing to use Title I funding is important to support students' needs in the elementary school for both ELA and math. | False |
| Allowing students to learn new techniques from other students by looking at their work during regularly scheduled class critiques, drives them to try harder for the next critique. Critiques also give students the opportunity to use vocabulary and talk about processes and design concepts that were taught. | False |

Challenges

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your District and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

| Strength | Check for Consideration in Plan |
|---|---------------------------------|
| JSHS did not meet the interim goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics/algebra 1 | True |
| CPES All Student Group did not meet the goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics | True |
| There is a lack of time to analyze and use the standard strand data scores for the overall grade and individual students. This prohibits teachers from tailoring instruction to meet student needs and doesn't allow teachers to analyze curriculum gaps. | False |
| Interventions recently put in place have not had time to achieve expected results | True |
| N/A | False |
| N/A | False |
| Continued revisions of curriculum will require additional time and resources. | False |
| Struggling readers find it difficult to complete math word problems. | False |
| Implementation of a traditional Algebra 1, Geometry, Algebra 2 progression is currently underway to replace an integrated approach. The results of the new approach are not known at this time. | True |
| Numbers and operations is a continued weakness for students in all grades . | True |
| Grades 3 and 6 scored below the national norm on the Math MAP test | True |
| Continued tiered interventions are a staffing and resources challenge. | False |
| The JSHS students scored 57.1% proficient or advanced in science which is below the state average of 58.9% | True |
| Developing additional avenues of communication between stakeholders on a consistent basis is important to accomplish the vision and goals of the District. | False |
| There is a need for time and professional development to develop the curriculum and resources for the STEELS standards | True |
| The keystones and PSSA test general Science and Biology and not specific Environmental/Ecology topics making it difficult to zero in on weaknesses in that subject. | False |
| Determining the most effective resources to improve student achievement continues to be a challenge. | False |
| Math proficiency is an issue at all levels for all groups in both the elementary and JSHS levels. | True |
| There is a need for continued work in the area of positive school culture/environment. | True |

| | |
|--|-------|
| There is a need for continued work in the area of rigorous expectations for students. | False |
| We must continue to maintain 100% engagement to meet and/or exceed the statewide performance standard. | False |
| ELA proficiency is an issue at all levels for all groups in both the elementary and JSHS levels. | False |
| Developing resources to meet the social-emotional needs of the students is a challenge both from a personnel and funding standpoint. | False |

Most Notable Observations/Patterns

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

COVID 19 has had an impact on students and staff and it is imperative that we work to overcome and fill any gaps created by the disruption to learning.

Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)

Analyzing Challenges

| Analyzing Challenges | Discussion Points | Check for Priority |
|---|--|--------------------|
| JSHS did not meet the interim goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics/algebra 1 | | False |
| CPES All Student Group did not meet the goal/improvement target in ELA and mathematics | | False |
| Implementation of a traditional Algebra 1, Geometry, Algebra 2 progression is currently underway to replace an integrated approach. The results of the new approach are not known at this time. | Implementation of the program is ongoing with Algebra 1 and Geometry in place in SY 2023-2024. | True |
| Numbers and operations is a continued weakness for students in all grades. | | False |
| Grades 3 and 6 scored below the national norm on the Math MAP test | | False |
| There is a need for continued work in the area of positive school culture/environment. | | False |
| Interventions recently put in place have not had time to achieve expected results | Focused academic interventions with flexible grouping are being implemented effectively. | True |
| Math proficiency is an issue at all levels for all groups in both the elementary and JSHS levels. | A new math series is being investigated and will be purchased. | True |
| The JSHS students scored 57.1% proficient or advanced in science which is below the state average of 58.9% | There is a need to develop the curriculum and resources for the STEELS standards | False |
| There is a need for time and professional development to develop the curriculum and resources for the STEELS standards | There is a need to develop the curriculum and resources for the STEELS standards | True |

Analyzing Strengths

| Analyzing Strengths | Discussion Points |
|---|--|
| A spiral review of mathematics is part of math instruction at every grade level K-6. | Using online resources will assist in identifying gaps in students' learning in ELA, math, and science. |
| Tiered interventions have been implemented with supplemental instruction provided in math and ELA in grades 1-6. Flexible instructional groups are determined by formative and summative assessments. | Once gaps in ELA learning are identified, the use of interventions will assist in targeting the skills needed to improve reading proficiency. |
| The alignment of financial resources from local, state, and federal programs, including grant opportunities with District vision and goals has been critical to its success in accomplishing them. | Using grants and other funding by adding/maintaining personnel, purchasing online and classroom resources, new learning spaces, etc. will assist in providing for student needs in closing gaps in their learning. |
| The District uses staff and community partners to coordinate and monitor supports aligned with students' and families' needs. | The District has worked with community organizations, i.e. churches and the food pantry in addition to a very supportive staff to provide families with resources for specific needs. |
| Grades 1, 2, 4, and 5 scored above the national norm on ELA MAP test | Continuing to use MAP data to focus on students' strengths and weaknesses will provide the information necessary to target instruction and keep ELA |

| | |
|--|---|
| | scores high. |
| There is and has been a strong focus on meeting individual student needs. | ELA and math teachers have been focusing on addressing the needs of individual students by using data available through MAP assessments, online assessments, and/or teacher-made assessments. |
| Using hands-on laboratory activities has increased learning through real-life examples. | Connecting real-world examples with activities that expand learning is critical to increase understanding. |
| JSHS Percent Proficient/Advanced in English Language Arts/Literature improved and above the state average. | Ensuring the curriculum is aligned in ELA K-12 will continue the foundation that is being built in the primary grade. |
| There is a common math program used for grades K-8 that provides consistency in focus. | Aligning the math curriculum with the common math program will assist in providing consistent instruction. |
| The use of online software programs allows teachers to both identify and target specific standards that surface as gaps in student learning. | Using online resources will assist in identifying gaps in students' learning in ELA, math, and science. |
| Teachers have been able to flexibly group students according to need by using both online and in-person assessments. | When teachers use online programs that adapt to the needs of individual students in addition to other purchased or teacher-made resources students' will see growth. |
| Tiered interventions in mathematics and ELA at the elementary level have assisted students in meeting the learning objectives. | Continued monitoring of the effectiveness of interventions is needed. |

Priority Challenges

| Analyzing Priority Challenges | Priority Statements |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | Completion of the implementation of the math sequence at the high school is imperative. |
| | The effectiveness of the intervention program must be assessed on a continual basis utilizing formative and summative assessments. |
| | Instructional strategies, curriculum and assessments will be aligned vertically and horizontally aligned to PA Core Standards in Math K-12. |
| | Implementation of the STEELS standards is in process and will be completed. |

Goal Setting

Priority: The effectiveness of the intervention program must be assessed on a continual basis utilizing formative and summative assessments.

| | | |
|---|----------------------|---|
| Outcome Category | | |
| English Language Arts | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 70% of students will be proficient or advanced in the ELA PSSA in grades 3-6. | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| ELA Goal 2024-2027 ES | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 63% | 67% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 70% of students will be proficient or advanced in the ELA PSSA in grades 3-6. |

| | | |
|---|----------------------|---|
| Outcome Category | | |
| Mathematics | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 65% of students in grades 3-6 will be proficient or advanced on the PSSA assessments. | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| Mathematics Goal 2024-2027 ES | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 55% | 60% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 65% of students in grades 3-6 will be proficient or advanced on the PSSA assessments. |

Priority: Instructional strategies, curriculum and assessments will be aligned vertically and horizontally aligned to PA Core Standards in Math K-12.

| | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Outcome Category | | |
| Mathematics | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced in the mathematics PSSA in grades 7-8 | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| Math Goal 2024-2027 | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 30% | 40% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced in the mathematics PSSA in grades 7-8 |

Priority: Completion of the implementation of the math sequence at the high school is imperative.

| |
|-------------------------|
| Outcome Category |
|-------------------------|

| | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Mathematics | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Algebra 1 Keystone Exam. | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| Algebra 1 Goal 2024-2027 | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 35% | 43% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Algebra 1 Keystone Exam. |

Priority: Implementation of the STEELS standards is in process and will be completed.

| | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Outcome Category | | |
| STEM | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Biology Keystone | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| Biology Keystone Goal 2024-2027 | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 45% | 54% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Biology Keystone |

| | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Outcome Category | | |
| STEM | | |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal) | | |
| By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the science PSSA aligned to the new STEELS standards | | |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max) | | |
| Science PSSA goal | | |
| Target Year 1 | Target Year 2 | Target Year 3 |
| 50% | 55% | By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the science PSSA aligned to the new STEELS standards |

Action Plan

Measurable Goals

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ELA Goal 2024-2027 ES | Mathematics Goal 2024-2027 ES |
| Math Goal 2024-2027 | Algebra 1 Goal 2024-2027 |
| Biology Keystone Goal 2024-2027 | Science PSSA goal |

Action Plan For: Conduct a vertical and horizontal ELA curriculum alignment

| |
|---|
| Measurable Goals: |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 70% of students will be proficient or advanced in the ELA PSSA in grades 3-6. |

| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA | | 2024-06-01 | 2025-06-01 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | Com Step? |
| Superintendent, Principals, and/or IU Staff | Digital copies of the standards, copies of resource documents | Yes | Yes |
| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
| Review of data tools and their uses | | 2024-06-01 | 2027-06-01 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | Com Step? |
| Superintendent, principals, and/or MIU support staff | Copies of data and access to MAP, PVAAS, eMetric, and other data sources | Yes | Yes |

| Anticipated Output | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) |
|---|---|
| Updated curriculum documents aligned with standards that demonstrate alignment with standards by grade level. Increased evidence of mastery of standards. | Superintendent, Principals 3 times per year Meetings with departments |

Action Plan For: Conduct a review of math curriculum and assessment data

| |
|---|
| Measurable Goals: |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 65% of students in grades 3-6 will be proficient or advanced on the PSSA assessments. By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Algebra 1 Keystone Exam. By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 50% of students will be proficient or advanced in the mathematics PSSA in grades 7-8 |

| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Review math curriculum for alignment to standards | | 2024-06-01 | 2025-06-01 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | Com Step? |
| Superintendent, principals, and/or MIU support staff | Digital copies of curriculum maps and instructional resources | Yes | Yes |

| Anticipated Output | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) |
|---|---|
| A document that provides evidence that all standards have been addressed, mastery of standards have been linked to a grade level and analyzed reports of data with identified strengths and weaknesses. | Teachers will keep digital copies of standards documents with annotated notes as evidence of learning Administrators will review digital copies. Beginning of the year analyzed data reports will be reviewed for growth/improvement. |

Action Plan For: Review and revise curriculum for alignment with STEELS standards

| Measurable Goals: |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the Biology Keystone By the end of SY2026-2027, at least 60% of students will be proficient or advanced on the science PSSA aligned to the new STEELS standards |

| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 | | 2024-06-01 | 2026-06-01 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | Com Step? |
| Principals | STEELS standards, resources to support the implementation of the STEELS standards | Yes | Yes |

| Anticipated Output | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) |
|--|---|
| Curriculum documents aligned with the STEELS standards | Documents will be available for administrative review. Review of assessment data will inform monitoring of curriculum alignment on an annual basis. |

Professional Development

Professional Development Action Steps

| Evidence-based Strategy | Action Steps |
|--|---|
| Conduct a vertical and horizontal ELA curriculum alignment | Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA |
| Conduct a vertical and horizontal ELA curriculum alignment | Review of data tools and their uses |
| Conduct a review of math curriculum and assessment data | Review math curriculum for alignment to standards |
| Review and revise curriculum for alignment with STEELS standards | Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 |

Curriculum mapping

| Action Step | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA Review math curriculum for alignment to standards Review of data tools and their uses | | |
| Audience | | |
| Teachers K-12 | | |
| Topics to be Included | | |
| Review of PA standards Review of existing curriculum maps Revision and alignment of maps to standards at each grade level | | |
| Evidence of Learning | | |
| Updated Curriculum maps | | |
| Lead Person/Position | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
| Superintendent, Principals | 2024-08-01 | 2026-08-01 |

Learning Format

| Type of Activities | Frequency |
|--|-----------------|
| Inservice day | 3 days per year |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan | |
| | |
| This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings | |
| | |

Communications

Communications Action Steps

| Evidence-based Strategy | Action Steps |
|--|---|
| Conduct a vertical and horizontal ELA curriculum alignment | Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA |
| Conduct a vertical and horizontal ELA curriculum alignment | Review of data tools and their uses |
| Conduct a review of math curriculum and assessment data | Review math curriculum for alignment to standards |
| Review and revise curriculum for alignment with STEELS standards | Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 |

Curriculum review and mapping

| Action Step | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA Review math curriculum for alignment to standards Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 Review of data tools and their uses Review math curriculum for alignment to standards Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12 Review of data tools and their uses | | |
| Audience | | |
| Teachers K-12 | | |
| Topics to be Included | | |
| Review and analysis of academic standards Review of curriculum horizontally and vertically for alignment with standards | | |
| Lead Person/Position | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
| Superintendent, Principals | 2024-08-01 | 2027-06-30 |

Communication

| Type of Communication | Frequency |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Presentation | 1 |

Communication

| Type of Communication | Frequency |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Presentation | 1 |

Curriculum review and mapping

| Action Step |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA |

- Review math curriculum for alignment to standards
- Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12
- Review of data tools and their uses
- Review math curriculum for alignment to standards
- Conduct a curriculum review for alignment with standards in ELA
- Utilize STEELS standards to revise science curriculum in K-12
- Review of data tools and their uses

Audience

Teachers K-12

Topics to be Included

Review and analysis of academic standards Review of curriculum horizontally and vertically for alignment with standards

| Lead Person/Position | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Superintendent, Principals | 2024-08-01 | 2027-06-30 |

Communication

| Type of Communication | Frequency |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Presentation | 1 |

Communication

| Type of Communication | Frequency |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Presentation | 1 |

Approvals & Signatures

| |
|-----------------------|
| Uploaded Files |
| |

| Chief School Administrator | Date |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| | |